

LETTERS TO THE EDITORS

COMMENTS ON 'A SYNTHESIS OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR NATURAL CONVECTION HEAT TRANSFER ACROSS RECTANGULAR ENCLOSURES'

I HAVE read the Shorter Communication of Bejan [1] which gives an excellent review of the analytical work on free convection in rectangular enclosures. In Fig. 1 Bejan summarizes different heat transfer theories for a rectangular cavity filled with Boussinesq-incompressible fluid on which for different Rayleigh numbers Ra_L , Nusselt number Nu is plotted against aspect ratio $A = H/L$.

The left-hand side of Fig. 1 shows, according to the theory of Bejan and Tien [2], that at constant Ra_L , there exists a well-defined aspect ratio for which the overall heat transfer rate (Nu) is a maximum. This theory was developed in [2] as model (2) for the so-called 'intermediate regime' which lies between the region of vanishing Rayleigh number ($Ra_L < 72 A^{-2}$) and the boundary layer regime ($Ra_L > 4.4 \times 10^4 A^{-5/3}$).

Although the frontiers in the brackets were mentioned to be regarded as diffuse or approximate, the points of maximum Nu for $Ra_L > 10^6$ lie well within the boundary layer regime for which according to equation (47) in [2] Nu is independent of A and much below its maximum on Fig. 1.

Bejan mentions in [1] about the boundary layer regime for the case of tall enclosures ($A > 1$) but ignores its existence for shallow enclosures ($A < 1$). On the other hand in [2] Bejan and Tien demonstrate the existence of this regime also in

shallow enclosures when Ra_L is high with the help of the experimental data of Imberger [3] for $A = 0.01$ and 0.02 . If we now assume that there exists a boundary layer regime also for shallow enclosures the maximum Nu for a particular Ra_L will now be given by equation (47) in [2], which when plotted on Fig. 1 will be horizontal lines for different Ra_L .

Institut für Kerntechnik,
Technische Universität Berlin,
Marchstraße 18,
1000 Berlin 10,
West Germany

S. HOSSAIN

REFERENCES

1. A. Bejan, A synthesis of analytical results for natural convection heat transfer across rectangular enclosures, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **23**, 723–726 (1980).
2. A. Bejan and C. L. Tien, Laminar natural convection heat transfer in a horizontal cavity with different end temperatures, *J. Heat Transfer* **100C**, 641–647 (1978).
3. J. Imberger, Natural convection in a shallow cavity with differentially heated end walls — Part 3. Experimental results, *J. Fluid Mech.* **65**, 247–260 (1974).

REPLY TO "COMMENTS ON 'A SYNTHESIS OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR NATURAL CONVECTION HEAT TRANSFER ACROSS RECTANGULAR ENCLOSURES'"

IN HIS Letter to the Editors, Hossain [1] raises the important issue of the engineers' ability to predict heat transfer in horizontal 'end-to-end heated' enclosures in the *boundary layer regime*. He is correct in pointing out that the only theory developed for this domain {Bejan and Tien [2], the 'boundary layer regime', equation (47)} does not appear on the Nusselt number–aspect ratio (Nu – A) chart, which I constructed in order to display all the analytical results on rectangular cavities [3]. However, contrary to Hossain's interpretation, the boundary layer theory [2] does not appear as a horizontal line on the Nu – A chart. Noting the different notations used in references [2] and [3], and using the notation of reference [3], the boundary layer regime heat transfer is

$$Nu = 0.623 Ra_L^{1/5} A^{-2/5}. \quad (1)$$

This theoretical result is shown plotted on the Nu – A chart for $Ra_L = 10^8$ and $Ra_L = 10^7$. It is evident that the boundary layer theory of [2], equation (1), bridges the 'gap' between the intermediate regime theory [2] and my theory for moderately tall enclosures [4]. This 'gap' develops as the Rayleigh number Ra_L increases.

Regarding the parametric domain (Ra_L , A) in which the boundary layer regime [2] prevails, a definite answer can only be anchored on conclusive experimental data. Since I wrote the review paper [3], we have completed the first experimental study of natural convection in a shallow enclosure in the *high Ra_L regime*. The parametric domain covered by these

water experiments is $A = 0.0625, 10^{12} < Ra_L < 10^{13}$. The new flow configuration and the heat transfer picture revealed by these experiments are described in a forthcoming paper [5].

Department of Mechanical Engineering, ADRIAN BEJAN
University of Colorado,
Boulder, CO 80309, U.S.A.

REFERENCES

1. S. Hossain, Comments on 'A synthesis of analytical results for natural convection heat transfer across rectangular enclosures', *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **24**, 1559 (1981).
2. A. Bejan and C. L. Tien, Laminar natural convection heat transfer in a horizontal cavity with different end temperatures, *J. Heat Transfer* **100**, 641 (1978).
3. A. Bejan, A synthesis of analytical results for natural convection heat transfer across rectangular enclosures, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **23**, 723–726 (1980).
4. A. Bejan, Note on Gill's solution for free convection in a vertical enclosure, *J. Fluid Mech.* **90**, 561 (1979).
5. A. Bejan, A. A. Al-Homoud and J. Imberger, Experimental study of high Rayleigh number convection in horizontal cavity with different end-temperatures, *J. Fluid Mech.* **109**, (1981).